

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,558	12/14/2001		Norimitsu Murayama	111460	6011	
25944	7590 12/12/200	3 .		EXAMINER		
	OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			BOS, STEVEN J		
	9928 RIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			· 1754		
			•	 DATE MAILED: 12/12/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)							
Office Action Summany	10/014,558		MURAYAMA ET AL.							
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit							
	Steven Bos		1754							
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover	sneet with the c	orrespondence ad	iaress						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	l. 1.136(a). In no event, howe ply within the statutory min d will apply and will expire ute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·									
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-fina	ıl.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims										
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application	ı .									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election require	ment.								
Application Papers		•		•						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).										
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the	attached Office	Action or form P	ГО-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of 15 and 15	nts have been rece nts have been rece iority documents ha au (PCT Rule 17.2 st of the certified co stic priority under 3 irst sentence of the rovisional applicati	eived. Eived in Application Eive been receive (a)). Expies not receive 5 U.S.C. § 119(exprecises or the specification or the specificat	on No d in this National d. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	I application) Data Sheet. a specific						
Attachment(s)	_		•							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲	-	(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PTC							

Application/Control Number: 10/014,558

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 4, "turbostrutic structure" is indefinite as to the metes and bounds of this phrase. It appears that –turbostratic— was intended.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cottevieille '355.

Cottevieille suggests the instantly claimed process but may differ as to the order of addition of the reactants. See the abstract, col. 2, example 2 and the claims.

Selection of the order of addition of reactants is within the level of skill of one of ordinary skill in the art and thus is prima facie obvious, In re Gibson, 5 USPQ 231, 232.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan 61-122121.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,558

Art Unit: 1754

Page 3

JP '121 suggests the instantly claimed process but may differ as to the order of addition of the reactants. See the abstract and pg. 154, right hand col.

Selection of the order of addition of reactants is within the level of skill of one of ordinary skill in the art and thus is prima facie obvious, In re Gibson, 5 USPQ 231, 232.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Bos whose telephone number is 703-308-2537. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8AM-6PM but is on increased flexitime sch.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 703-308-3837. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1754